

**COMPLEMENT
TO THE
STATEMENT
" BLESSED VIRGIN OF GUADALUPE,
' MATER VERITATIS SALUTARIS ' ".
12 December 2021,
Solemnity of Our Lady of Guadalupe**

Given the grave words of Pope Francis on the way in which the Blessed Virgin conceived Our Lord Jesus Christ, pronounced after the appearance of the aforementioned Declaration, the following Complement of the same is now published, placing it in the whole of the Declaration in paragraph D) of section II), and following the same dynamic proposed for subparagraphs A), B) and C), namely, it first enunciates what should be, what is the truth, and then explains why the position of Pope Francis is contrary to that truth.

_____ oooOo _____

D) THE MOTHER OF CHRIST, THE GLORIOUS VIRGIN MARY:

1. IT IS MOST HOLY, BECAUSE FROM THE FIRST MOMENT OF HER BEING SHE WAS PRESERVED FROM ORIGINAL SIN, PURISIMA FROM HER CONCEPTION, ALL OF HER IMMACULATE.
2. THROUGHOUT HER EARTHLY EXISTENCE, AS FULL OF GRACE, SHE WAS ALWAYS MOST HOLY, WITHOUT ANY SIN, NOT EVEN VENIAL; NEVER COULD THE DEVIL DO IN HER THE SLIGHTEST OF HIS DESTRUCTIVE WORK OF SIN, THE IMMACULATE VIRGIN STEPPING ON THE HEAD OF THE INFERNAL SERPENT.
3. ALWAYS REMAINING A VIRGIN, SHE CONCEIVED AND GAVE BIRTH BY THE WORK OF THE HOLY SPIRIT TO OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST, SON OF GOD, AND THE GRATEFUL CHURCH, THE SUPER-VENERA (CULT OF HYPERDIA) AS WHAT SHE IS, THE MOTHER OF GOD.
4. AT THE END OF HER EARTHLY EXISTENCE SHE WAS, BY SPECIAL PRIVILEGE, RAISED TO HEAVEN IN BODY AND SOUL, WHERE SHE HAS BEEN CROWNED AS QUEEN OF HEAVEN AND EARTH.

We now enunciate the essential truths about the Blessed Virgin Mary, Mother of Jesus, expressed in the texts of the solemn teaching of the Church:

a) Dogma of the Divine Motherhood of the Blessed Virgin Mary.- Mary is mother of God:

"That is why [the Holy Fathers] did not hesitate to call the Holy Virgin the mother of God...":
Concilio de Éfeso, año 431: DzS¹ 251.

"If any... slanders the holy Council of Chalcedon, claiming that it calls the Virgin 'Mother of God' in the ungodly sense that Theodore imagined; or if anyone calls her mother of man or mother of Christ, as if Christ were not God; but does not confess her mother of God in a real and true sense... and so with this feeling of piety, the Holy Council of Chalcedon confessed to her Mother of God, be anathema": *Council II of Constantinople (Ecumenical V), May 5 - June 2, 553, Conciliar Canons, 6b: DzS 427*

¹ *Enchiridion Symbolorum, Definitionum and Declarationum the rebus fidei and morum Ed. H. DENZINGER y A.SCHÖNMETZER, S.I. (Barcelona, Freiburg, Rome), hereinafter cited as DzS.*

b) Dogma of the Perpetual Virginity of the Blessed Virgin Mary.- the Mother of Jesus is always Virgin:

"If anyone says that the holy, glorious and ever-Virgin Mary is called the mother of God in an abusive and not true sense... be anathema" : Council II of Constantinople (ecumenical V), May - June of the year 553, Conciliar Canons, 6a: DzS 427.

" ... the only begotten Son of God Jesus Christ, made man by the common work of the Trinity, conceived of Mary always Virgin, by the cooperation of the Holy Spirit..." : Fourth Lateran Council (ECUMENICAL XII), November 1215: DzS 801

c) Dogma of the Immaculate Conception of the Blessed Virgin Mary.- Most pure had to be the Mother of Christ, she was preserved from her conception from every stain of original sin:

"... For the honor of the holy and individual Trinity ... we declare, pronounce and define that the doctrine holding that the Blessed Virgin Mary was preserved immune from every stain of original sin at the first instant of her conception, by the singular grace and privilege of Almighty God, in attention to the merits of Jesus Christ the Savior of mankind, is revealed by God; and, therefore, it must be firmly and constantly believed by all the faithful.

Therefore, if anyone has the presumption of dissenting in his heart from that which we have defined, which God does not allow, he knows and is aware that he has condemned himself by his own judgment, that he has been shipwrecked in the faith, and that he has departed from the unity of the Church" *Defining Bull "Ineffabilis Deus", of Pius IX, December 8, 1854: DzS 2803 - 2804*

d) Dogma of the Assumption of the Blessed Virgin Mary into Heaven in body and soul.- As the culmination of her life on this Earth, and as a continuation of her absolute purity and cleansing of all sin, by a special privilege that God grants her, at the end of her earthly existence, she is taken body and soul to Heaven, and is glorified as Queen of Heaven and Earth:

"For all this, after having insistently raised to God our supplicant preces and having invoked the light of the Spirit of truth, for the glory of Almighty God, who bestowed his particular benevolence on the Virgin Mary, for the honor of her Son, immortal King of the ages and conqueror of sin and death, for the increase of the glory of the same Augustan Mother, and for the joy and jubilation of the whole Church: by virtue of the authority of Our Lord Jesus Christ, of the blessed apostles Peter and Paul and Ours, we proclaim, declare and define that it is divinely revealed dogma that the Immaculate Mother of God, always Virgin Mary, fulfilled the course of her earthly life, was assumed in body and soul to heavenly glory": *Apostolic Constitution "Munificentissimus Deus", of Pius XII, November 1, 1950: DzS 3903 - 3904*

In the light of all the above, which is the official and solemn teaching of the Church, and which is based on a millenary tradition of veneration of the "Mother of God", let us examine what Pope Francis expresses in his very personal words in relation to the mystery of the Virgin Mother of Christ, in a very recent Public Audience (December 1, 2021): it is a video from the Vatican site "Rome Reports" in Spanish, so it is ruled out at all that it is false. In this video, Pope Francis, in the paragraph referred to below, expresses his own thought, for the video shows that he does not read, but speaks outside the written discourse (cf. <https://www.romereports.com/2021/12/01/francisco-a-los-novios-a-menudo-la-vida-no-es-como-imaginabamos-sobre-todo-en-el-amor>).

Here is the transcript of Pope Francis' words spoken in Italian, preceded by the Spanish introduction of the announcer:

"... and shared (Pope Francis) a very personal interpretation of a Gospel passage:

" **Pensate nel vangelo di Giovanni, un rimprovero che fanno i dottori della legge a Gesù è 'noi non siamo figli nati da là', in riferimento alla prostituzione, ma perché**

questi sapevano come María è rimasta incinta e volevano sporcare la mamma di Gesù...” (Pope Francis, General Audience of Wednesday, December 1, 2021: Minute 1:58ff of the video of the Vatican site of Rome Reports in Spanish).

The literal translation reads as follows: **“Think (or Think) of the Gospel of John, a reproach that the doctors of the Law make to Jesus is 'we are not children born from there', in reference to prostitution, but (or else) because they knew how Mary has become pregnant and wanted to dirty the mother of Jesus ... ”.**

Feedback:

- Reference is made to Jn 8:41: *“we are not children born of prostitution”*; according to exegetes², the origin of Jesus is not properly alluded to here, but as Jesus told the Jews that their father is the Devil (cf. Jn 8:41.44), and therefore they are not authentic children of Abraham or faithful in the People of God, they defend themselves and justify themselves by saying *“we are not children born of prostitution”*, in allusion to the fact that in no way are they part of the pagan peoples who practice idolatry, which in prophetic language is mentioned as *“prostitution”*, so they reaffirm themselves as children of God because they are faithful in the People of Israel; the discussion is even who is the father of the Jews, or God or the devil; here there is no talk of the mother of anyone; to make the Blessed Virgin appear here seems to be beside the point, much less validate the interpretation that Pope Francis gives to the quoted passage by referring to the fact that the Jews effectively and objectively knew the way in which Christ was conceived by Mary Most Holy, also affirming that this mode was that of prostitution (God forgive us these words). For our part, we say the Jews did not know the way in which Christ was conceived, nor that the Virgin was pregnant by the work of the Holy Spirit and that St. Joseph had no knowledge of it at first. All this was beyond his knowledge, for no one knew those details which only later will the Evangelist Luke know and refer to in the “Gospels of the Lord’s infancy” (Lk1-2). If the Jews say it, it is not because they know anything about the life, until that moment hidden, of the Blessed Virgin, as Pope Francis affirms that they do know, but he does not give the foundation; what the Jews say is only for insulting Jesus or for justifying themselves, so for example, in v. 48, the Jews say to Jesus: “Do we not say well that you are a Samaritan and you have a demon?”, and objectively we know perfectly well that Jesus was neither Samaritan nor demonic, but they told him for insulting him.
- But in the event that Pope Francis really thought that indeed the Jews are referring to the way in which Christ was conceived, and not to the demarcation of idolatry that they made, Pope Francis’ commentary on what the Jews affirm leaves us dumbfounded, because Pope Francis explains why, explains why the Jews affirmed this and says that it is *“because they knew the way Mary has become pregnant...”* (that is, because of what you said immediately before, because of “prostitution”, we sanctified ourselves). Affirming, not just referring. Confirming and not correcting.
- For the contrary, Pope Francis does not explain why the Jews mistakenly claimed that Jesus was the son of prostitution (we sat back in writing these horrendous and blasphemous words), but explains why the Jews did indeed say that Jesus is “a son born of prostitution” (“sic”, God forgive us), namely, *“because they knew how Mary has become pregnant”*; thus with these words of Pope Francis it is affirmed that Mary became pregnant because of prostitution, horrendous blasphemy that has no basis to affirm itself, nor to say itself, nor to pronounce itself, and if here we refer to the words it is for the absolutely necessary of the case, and asking for the grace and mercy of God for such despicable words.
- It would be another thing to explain why the Jews said that, explaining that it was because they insulted Jesus with falsehoods, but as we have seen, unfortunately this is not the case.

² Just as an example, the vision of this exegete on Jn 8,41: *“Naturally, no illegitimate offspring are alluded to. In the prophetic vocabulary is expressed with the term “prostitution” or “fornication” idolatry, the infidelity of Israel worshipping other gods, outside of Yahweh, the Bridegroom of Israel (Hos 1:2; 2:6; Because 2,20; This 16,15ss)...”*: M. García Cordero - G. Pérez Rodríguez, et alii , *The Commented Bible* (Madrid 1962) volume V, p. 1154.

- On the other hand, it is noteworthy that the announcer of the video says that it is a personal commentary of Pope Francis on this passage of the Gospel of St. John, which is evidenced in the video because Pope Francis when he makes the commentary in question stops reading and speaks freely outside the writing. He seems to talk about what he really believes and thinks.
- As we have said, in the opinion of various exegetes, the allusion to the way Jesus is conceived does not seem to be what this passage of the Gospel is really about; Why then bring up the Blessed Virgin?
- This interpretation of saying that Mary became pregnant by prostitution (mercy, our God!), is a totally offensive, blasphemous, heretical and horrendous version, propagated, against the historical, biblical, evangelical, and faith truth of the Church, precisely because of bitter enemies of Christ, of his Blessed Mother, and of the Church herself (cf. "The Talmud against Christ and the Blessed Virgin Always Virgin³Mary"; see what the Talmud says about Christ and about the Blessed ⁴ Virgin.).
- Any true believer in Christ senses that this blasphemous interpretation, which comes from centuries ago and from sworn enemies of the Catholic Church, has never been accepted EVEN REMOTELY BY THE CHURCH. It is the version of the enemies of the faith. It is incredible that someone, truly a Christian, adopts, but not remotely this interpretation, unless he wants to look good with powerful enemies of Christ, of the Blessed Virgin and of the true Catholic faith, in that case, would be an interested interpretation.
- In speaking of blasphemous interpretation, we refer concretely to the fact that we affirm as totally true that the reason the Jews claimed that Christ would be "the son of prostitution" (God have mercy!) IT IS BECAUSE supposedly the Jews effectively and objectively knew how the Blessed Virgin Mary has become pregnant (namely, by reason of prostitution, Piety our God!). It would be very different for Pope Francis to say that Jews mistakenly claimed a blasphemous error, but sadly this is not the case.
- On the other hand, assuming without conceding, that indeed (subject to the endorsement of biblical studies in this regard) the Jews referred to the way in which the Virgin conceived Our Lord Jesus Christ, however what they said they said as an offense, not that they really, according to the same revealed data of the Gospel, could know at that time⁵ how was really the conception of Our Lord Jesus Christ, this was only known by the Blessed Virgin by the Annunciation and St. Joseph because in dreams the angel communicates it, so much so that in the circle closest to them in Nazareth, which is only where it could be known, because there they lived thirty years without being public figures, Jesus was regarded as "the carpenter's son" (Mt 13:55; Mk 6:3); and St. Joseph, when he was not yet warned by the angel, thought of repudiating the Virgin in secret, precisely because it was not publicly known that the Virgin was pregnant, what they did know is that she was already married to St. Joseph (cf. Lk 1:27).
- In the same sense of Pope Francis' commentary, namely that the Jews knew how Mary became pregnant, according to them for prostitution (God have mercy), there are two other comments that

³“As Christianity became extended, despite the constant persecutions of the Jews did first and always, and then of the Romans, bárbaros, Mohammedans..., the Talmud was acquiring greater verbal violence, coming to be written in there the greatest blasphemies and infamy against Christ, his Mother Saintísima and Christians”: “ THE NAKED TALMUD 2/3, 20 January 2019: “The Talmud against Christ and the Blessed Ever Virgin Seal was”:

<http://sededelasabiduria.es/2019/01/20/el-talmud-al-desnudo-2-3/>

⁴ For basic and neutral information about the perverse dissemination of this blasphemous version of Our Lord Jesus Christ (God takes pity on us!) conception, a search on the internet is enough; just as an example: "*The Talmud and the medieval Jews writings known as Toledo Jesus, resumed this information, in reference to Jesús of Nazareth as «Yeshu in Panther» (Panther would be a Roman soldier), which translates as «Jesús, son of Panter»*“: article "Tiberius Julius" Abdes Pantera", third paragraph, in Wikipedia.

⁵ But still not yet in the moment of the actual discussion you refer to Jn 8, nor the Gospels of the infancy itself called by San Lucas, neither the oral tradition from the Christian in this regard. The first two chapters of St. Luke are often called "the gospels of Jesus' childhood."

Pope Francis makes within the same reflection in this Public Audience, which shows that it is not something accidental, but confirms the content that Pope Francis wants to transmit:

- Pope Francis says that **"... Giuseppe ci da una lezione importante. Sceglie Maria 'a occhi aperti', e possiamo dire, fra virgolette, con tutti i rischi..."**. Translation: **"Joseph chooses Mary 'with open eyes' (Italian expression), and we can say, in quotes, with all the risks ..."**.
- and at another time Pope Francis says: **"... era il rischio di Giuseppe..., ci da puesta lezione, prende la vita come viene..."**. Translation: **"... it was Joseph's risk (thus receiving Mary as his wife), he gives us this lesson, he takes life as it comes..."**
- These two additional comments of Pope Francis on the attitude of St. Joseph regarding whether or not to receive the Blessed Virgin, according to the version of Pope Francis, confirm in what sense the comment of Pope Francis that we have been analyzing has gone, since they go exactly in the sameline, so they fully confirm the meaning of the comment widely analyzed. Namely, in the sense that the Virgin is received by St. Joseph under the same premise that the Jews have affirmed and sustained, and that at no time is it denied in the discourse of Pope Francis, but is left as the Jews have proposed it, with all its force of lies, blasphemy and heresy; namely, that Mary, the Mother of Jesus, has conceived him as a "child of prostitution" (God have mercy on us!).
- These two comments fully confirm the tenor of Pope Francis' commentary that the Jews "knew how Mary has become pregnant", namely, because of prostitution (God takes pity), thus, the meaning of the main comment is not in doubt of the subjective, accidental, ambiguous interpretation, etc. Version that is confirmed because nowhere else in the speech of this Public Audience of Pope Francis does a different meaning appear to the subjective, accidental, ambiguous interpretation. alluding, nor is there a shadow of correction, on the contrary, the meaning of the main comment is confirmed with the comments on the attitude of St. Joseph who supposedly receives the Virgin under the same premise that the Jews handle, and it is curious that in all the discourse "nothing and no one" comes out in defense of the Blessed Virgin, neither of the truth of the facts attested in the Gospel, nor of the bimillennial faith of the Church. So, sadly, the direction in which the whole comment is going has become very clear.
- To these two comments on the attitude of a supposed Saint Joseph "who takes risks" we respond with the Gospel: Saint Joseph being a just man does not take any risk in relation to the moral quality of the Blessed Virgin, he works not according to calculations, but according to convictions; thus, when he discovers that she is pregnant, he thinks that she is wrong and decides to repudiate her, although in secret because he is a just man (Mt 1:19), then warned by the angel about the virginal and immaculate conception of Jesus by the work of the Holy Spirit in the womb of the Blessed Virgin, as a man of faith, and recognizing the sublime and most holy mystery of the Virgin Mother of God, most pure and full of grace, he receives her with the full assurance of the faith obedience (cf. Mt 1:24). Divine mysteries, revealed directly by God, and that the Devil and his minions have wanted to dirty with absolute falsehoods and blasphemies throughout history, and unfortunately to this day.
- Thus, Pope Francis' commentary is blasphemous and ungodly, truthless, heretical and contrary to the faith of the Church. It proposes another Gospel different from the one we have received (cf. Gal 1:8-9).
- This commentary by Pope Francis goes against two thousand years of holy super-veneration (cult of hyperdulity) of the Church towards the Blessed Virgin and the adoration of the christ's birth mystery.

Breaking down, **Pope Francis' comment is:**

a) A copy of what is falsely and with all evil intent being blasphemously taught by secular enemies of the Catholic faith (see what was discussed above about the Jewish Talmud).

b) **Contrary to the truth of the Gospel**, devoid of sustenance, for as the holy Gospels point out, Jesus was conceived by the work of the Holy Spirit (cf. Lk 1:35), Saint Joseph, in obeying God through the angel, fully recognized the mystery of the "full of grace" (Lk 1:28), leaving no doubt about the most holy person of the Virgin; the Gospel tell us, as we have said before, that they lived in Nazareth in a normal life, hidden in the sense that they were not recognized characters, but lived like the rest of the People (cf. Lk 2:51); that the details of the conception of Our Lord in the most pure bosom of the Virgin were unknown to all others, so much so that Jesus was regarded as the "son of the Carpenter" (Mt 13:55; Mk 6:3), and the Jews who argued with Jesus in Jn 8, in order to "know" what the Pope attributes to them, they would have had precise references, which no one knew, which were from 30 years ago or more, and which would have to be transmitted by close people who also lived in Nazareth. The Gospel forcefully shows us that if the Jews perhaps wanted to refer to the way in which Jesus was conceived (it remains to be seen because the various biblical studies that maintain that he did not have to be overturned), even then the Jews certainly had no argument to talk about the subject, or in other words, **IN REALITY THEY DID NOT KNOW HOW THE VIRGIN HAD BECOME PREGNANT, IF ANYTHING, THEY ONLY WANTED TO OFFEND**, as when they called Jesus "Samaritan" (Jn 8:48).

c) **Contrary to the faith of the Church, it is heretical and contrary to the truth of faith.** In fact, the commentary implies several heresies and is contrary to the faith of the Church expressed in the solemn Magisterium that we have seen at the beginning, and also contrary to the Creed:

c.1) It goes against the dogma of the Immaculate Conception of the Blessed Virgin, because a very hypothetical Mary, Mother of Jesus, who exercises prostitution (God takes pity on us!) slave to sin, and therefore, under concupiscence, a consequence of original sin, not being "full of grace" as the angel calls her, nor being the Lord with her ("the Lord is with you"), it is not compatible with the Immaculate Virgin, preserved from every stain of original sin, and destined to be the most pure tabernacle of Jesus Christ Our Lord in his admirable Incarnation, which is all this that proclaims the faith of the Church.

c.2) It goes against the dogma of the perpetual Virgin of the Blessed Virgin, for it emphatically presents the opposite of what the faith of the Church presents to us as a truth of faith.

c.3) It affects the dogma of the Assumption of the Virgin, because there is also talk of the Immaculate Virgin, who precisely after her whole life of being "full of grace" and immaculate, is elevated, at the end of her earthly existence, to Heaven in Body and Soul, and is enthroned as Queen of Heaven and Earth.

c.4) It goes against the Creed, to which we fervently adhere by saying:

"I believe in one Lord Jesus Christ... that for us men, and for our salvation under heaven, **and by the work of the Holy Spirit he became incarnate of Mary, the Virgin, and became man.**"

d) **The commentary of Pope Francis is blasphemous and ungodly**, because it proposes something as sublime, holy and most holy as the mystery of the Virgin Mother, of the Blessed Mother of Jesus, as a dung full of sin, soiling with the same dirt of the Jewish Talmud the same to the Blessed Virgin as to Our Most Holy Lord Jesus Christ. Pope Francis himself is aware that he is talking about something very "dirty and demonic" (these are words that he refers to the commented passage, but without pointing out the falsity of the comment of the Jews), only that he does not correct it at any time, and on the contrary he lets it be understood, and also reaffirms it with the comments of the attitude of St. Joseph who, under the same premise that the Jews affirm, according to Pope Francis, he would have received the Blessed Virgin in this way.

e) **Something false and a falsified Gospel are proposed**, because as has been seen it contradicts the data given by the holy Gospels.

And in order not to participate in the anathema that St. Paul refers to in relation to the introduction of a Gospel different from the one that has been preached to us (Gal 1:8-9), in this interpretation of Pope Francis on the way in which the Blessed Virgin has become pregnant, and which we have commented extensively, it is not possible for us to follow or obey Pope Francis. Even clearer, we cannot obey Pope Francis if it implies offense to the Blessed Virgin or overshadowing of our love, adhesion and devotion to the Virgin Mother of God, hoping to one day enjoy the Triumph of her Immaculate Heart.

Let us do actions of reparation and reparation for the offenses done to the Ever-Glorious Virgin Mary and her Most Holy Son, Our Lord Jesus Christ.

Let us be inspired by many Cristero martyrs, who died defending
the honor of the Blessed Virgin, to the cry of
LONG LIVE CHRIST THE KING AND ST. MARY OF GUADALUPE!!!

_____ooOoo_____

Signed in the spiritual presence of the Holy Virgin of Guadalupe, on her great Solemnity at Tepeyac,
December 12, 2021:

Signatures in alphabetical order of laity and priests: